#### Bivariate Polynomials Modulo Composites and Their Applications

#### Dan Boneh and Henry Corrigan-Gibbs Stanford University

ASIACRYPT — 8 December 2014

Let N = pq be an RSA modulus of unknown factorization.

Let N = pq be an RSA modulus of unknown factorization.

i.e., p and q are large distinct random primes

Let N = pq be an RSA modulus of unknown factorization.

Let N = pq be an RSA modulus of unknown factorization.

#### Question

Given a fixed polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $c \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N$ 

How hard is it to solve:

 $f(x) = c \mod N ?$ 

When  $f(x) = x^2$ , solving

 $x^2 = c \mod N$ 

is as hard as factoring  $N_{\rm \ [Rabin \ '79]}$ 

When  $f(x) = x^2$ , solving

 $x^2 = c \mod N$ 

is as hard as factoring  $N_{\rm \ [Rabin \ '79]}$ 

When  $f(x) = x^3$ , solving  $x^3 = c \mod N$ 

is the RSA problem [Rivest-Shamir-Adleman '78]

When  $f(x) = x^2$ , solving

 $x^2 = c \mod N$ 

is as hard as factoring  $N_{\rm \ [Rabin \ '79]}$ 

When  $f(x) = x^3$ , solving

$$x^3 = c \mod N$$

is the RSA problem [Rivest-Shamir-Adleman '78]

When  $f \in \mathbb{Z}_N[x]$  is random (of fixed degree), solving:  $f(x) = 0 \mod N$ 

is as hard as factoring N  $_{\rm [Schwenk-Eisfeld '96]}$ 

## A Natural Extension: Bivariates

#### Question

Fix a *bivariate* polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ , choose  $c \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N$ For which f is it hard to solve:

 $f(x,y) = c \mod N \quad ?$ 

## A Natural Extension: Bivariates

#### Question

Fix a *bivariate* polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ , choose  $c \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N$ For which f is it hard to solve:

 $f(x,y) = c \mod N \quad ?$ 

When does  $f(x, y) \mod N$  have interesting cryptographic properties?

## A Natural Extension: Bivariates

#### Question

Fix a *bivariate* polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ , choose  $c \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N$ For which f is it hard to solve:

 $f(x,y) = c \mod N \quad ?$ 

When does  $f(x, y) \mod N$  have interesting cryptographic properties?

From the **discrete log problem**...

$$M=g^m$$

From the **discrete log problem**...

$$M = g^m$$

... we get a commitment scheme:

$$C(m;r) = g^m h^r$$

[Pedersen '91]

From the **discrete log problem**...

$$M = g^m$$

... we get a commitment scheme:

$$C(m;r) = g^m h^r$$

[Pedersen '91]

From the RSA problem...

$$M = m^3 \mod N$$

From the **discrete log problem**...

$$M = g^m$$

... we get a commitment scheme:

$$C(m;r) = g^m h^r$$

[Pedersen '91]

From the RSA problem...

$$M = m^3 \mod N$$

# ... do we get a commitment scheme?

$$C(m;r) = m^3 + 2r^3 \bmod N$$

From the **discrete log problem**...

$$M = g^m$$

... we get a commitment scheme:

$$C(m;r) = g^m h^r$$

[Pedersen '91]

From the RSA problem...

$$M = m^3 \mod N$$

... do we get a  
Or maybe 
$$m^4$$
?  $m^5$ ?  
 $C(m;r) = m^3 + 2r^3 \mod N$ 

From the **discrete log problem**...

$$M = g^m$$

... we get a commitment scheme:

$$C(m;r) = g^m h^r$$

[Pedersen '91]

From the RSA problem...

$$M = m^3 \mod N$$

# ... do we get a commitment scheme?

$$C(m;r) = m^3 + 2r^3 \bmod N$$

From the **discrete log problem**...

$$M = g^m$$

... we get a commitment scheme:

$$C(m;r) = g^m h^r$$

[Pedersen '91]

From the RSA problem...

$$M = m^3 \mod N$$

# ... do we get a commitment scheme?

$$C(m;r) = n^3 - 2r^3 \mod N$$

## Overview

#### Motivation

#### Classifying Polynomials One way functions Second preimage resistance Collision Resistance

Applications

Conclusion

## **Classifying Polynomials**

Useful cryptographic properties of  $f(x, y) \mod N$ :

- one-wayness
- second preimage resistance
- collision resistance

## **Classifying Polynomials**

Useful cryptographic properties of  $f(x, y) \mod N$ :

- one-wayness
- second preimage resistance
- collision resistance

#### Question

Which polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  define functions mod N with these properties?

# To understand properties of $c \leftarrow f(x, y) \mod N$ ,

# look at the properties of $f(x, y) = c \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

Fact

If it's easy to find rational solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \qquad \in \mathbb{Q}$$

then, for random RSA moduli N, it's easy find solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \mod N.$$

**Fact** 

If it's easy to find rational sc

Find solution and reduce it mod N.

$$f(x,y) = c \qquad \in \mathbb{Q}$$

then, for random RSA moduli N, it's easy find solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \mod N.$$

Fact

If it's easy to find rational solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \qquad \in \mathbb{Q}$$

then, for random RSA moduli N, it's easy find solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \mod N.$$

Fact

If it's easy to find rational solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \qquad \in \mathbb{Q}$$

then, for random RSA moduli N, it's easy find solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \mod N.$$

#### **Question**

Is this the only way to find solutions mod N?

**Fact** 

If it's easy to find rational solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \qquad \in \mathbb{Q}$$

**then**, for random RSA moduli N, it's easy find solutions to

f(x, y) Can compute +,-,\*,/. Not  $\sqrt{x}$ .

#### **Question**

Is this the only way to find solutions  $\mod N$ :

Fact

If it's easy to find rational solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \qquad \in \mathbb{Q}$$

then, for random RSA moduli N, it's easy find solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \mod N.$$

#### **Question**

Is this the only way to find solutions mod N?

Fact

If it's easy to find rational solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \qquad \in \mathbb{Q}$$

then, for random RSA moduli N, it's easy find solutions to

$$f(x,y) = c \mod N.$$

#### **Question**

Is this the only way to find solutions mod N?

**More generally:** Are rational properties of f sufficient to get cryptographic properties mod N?

#### Example

#### You want this to be a OWF. Is it?

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - 5y^2 + 3xy \mod N$$

#### Example

#### You want this to be a OWF. Is it?

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - 5y^2 + 3xy \mod N$$

No! The curve f(x,y) = c is of genus zero over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so can efficiently invert the OWF. [Pollard-Schnorr '87]

#### Example

#### You want this to be a OWF. Is it?

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - 5y^2 + 3xy \mod N$$

No! The curve f(x,y) = c is of genus zero over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so can efficiently invert the OWF. [Pollard-Schnorr '87]

OSS'84 sigs (broken) relied on the hardness of a related problem.

Classify polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  according to the *genus* of f(x, y) - c = 0 for most  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ 

Classify polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  according to the *genus* of f(x, y) - c = 0 for most  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ 

Genus	Туре	Easy to invert mod N?
0	"rational"	Yes
1	"elliptic"	?
$\geq 2$		?

Classify polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  according to the *genus* of f(x, y) - c = 0 for most  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_N$ 

Genus	Туре	Easy to invert mod N?
0	"rational"	Yes
1	"elliptic"	?
$\geq 2$		?

**Necessary Condition:** For *f* to give rise to OWF, curve f(x, y) - c = 0 must have genus > 0 for almost all *c*.

## Second Preimage Resistance

**Definition:** Given a point  $(x, y) \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N^2$ , should be hard to find a *second* point (x', y') such that:

 $f(x,y) = f(x',y') \bmod N$
## Second Preimage Resistance

**Definition:** Given a point  $(x, y) \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N^2$ , should be hard to find a *second* point (x', y') such that:

$$f(x,y) = f(x',y') \bmod N$$

Breaking SPR is only as hard as finding a *second* rational point on the curve f(x, y) = c.

## Second Preimage Resistance

**Definition:** Given a point  $(x, y) \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N^2$ , should be hard to find a *second* point (x', y') such that:

$$f(x,y) = f(x',y') \bmod N$$

Breaking SPR is only as hard as finding a *second* rational point on the curve f(x, y) = c.

**Necessary Condition:** For *f* to be SPR, curve f(x, y) = c must have no non-trivial rational mapping  $(x, y) \mapsto (x', y')$  for almost all *c*.

## Second Preimage Resistance

**Definition:** Given a point  $(x, y) \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N^2$ , should be hard to find a *second* point (x', y') such that:

 $f(x,y) = f(x',y') \bmod N$ 

Breaking SPR is o point on the curve f(w)

Details are in the paper

second rational

**Necessary Condition:** For *f* to be SPR, curve f(x, y) = c must have no non-trivial rational mapping  $(x, y) \mapsto (x', y')$  for almost all *c*.

**Definition:** *f* is *collision resistant* if it is computationally hard to find  $(x, y) \neq (x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}_N^2$  such that

$$f(x,y) = f(x',y') \mod N.$$

**Definition:** *f* is *collision resistant* if it is computationally hard to find  $(x, y) \neq (x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}_N^2$  such that

$$f(x,y) = f(x',y') \mod N.$$

**Definition:** A function  $f : \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$  is *injective* if

$$f(x,y) = f(x',y') \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad (x,y) = (x',y').$$

# Factf(x,y) is NOTan injective mapf(x,y) is NOT $CR \mod N$



# Factf(x,y) is NOTan injective mapf(x,y) is NOT $CR \mod N$



#### Question

Does there exist a low-degree poly f(x, y) that induces an *injective* map  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$ ?

#### Question

Does there exist a low-degree poly f(x, y) that induces an *injective* map  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$ ?



This is an open problem in number theory.

#### Question

Does there exist a low-degree poly f(x, y) that induces an *injective* map  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$ ?

This is an open problem in number theory.



But a 15-year-old conjecture says that  $f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(x,y) = x^7 + 3y^7$  is injective over  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ 

[Zagier, as reported by Poonen 2009]

#### Question

Does there exist a low-degree poly f(x, y) that induces an *injective* map  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$ ?

This is an open problem in number theory.



But a 15-year-old conjecture says that  $f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(x, y) = x^7 + 3y^7$  is injective over  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$ 

 $x^7 + 3y^7$  is the **actual** polynomial, not a toy example.

#### **Conjecture** [Zagier]

The following is an injective function mapping  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$ :

$$f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(x,y) = x^7 + 3y^7$$

#### **Conjecture** [Zagier]

The following is an injective function mapping  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$ :

$$f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(x,y) = x^7 + 3y^7$$

#### Remark

By Merkle-Damgård:

$$f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(x,y)$$
 injective  $\implies g(x,y,z) = x^7 + 3(y^7 + 3z^7)^7$   
injective

#### **Conjecture** [Zagier]

The following is an injective function mapping  $\mathbb{Q}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{Q}$ :

$$f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(x,y) = x^7 + 3y^7$$

#### Remark

By Merkle-Damgård:

$$f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(x,y)$$
 injective  $\implies g(x,y,z) = x^7 + 3(y^7 + 3z^7)^7$   
injective

We get injective maps on  $\mathbb{Q}^4, \mathbb{Q}^5, \dots$  for free!

Since the only apparent way to find collisions in  $f \mod N$  is to find  $\mathbb{Q}$  collisions...

Since the only apparent way to find collisions in  $f \mod N$  is to find  $\mathbb{Q}$  collisions...

and since Zagier conjectures that  $f_{Zag}$  is injective (i.e., has no collisions) over  $\mathbb{Q}^2$ ...

Since the only apparent way to find collisions in  $f \mod N$  is to find  $\mathbb{Q}$  collisions...

and since Zagier conjectures that  $f_{Zag}$  is injective (i.e., has no collisions) over  $\mathbb{Q}^2$ ...

#### Assumption

The function  $f_{Zag}(x, y) = x^7 + 3y^7 \mod N$  is CR.

Since the only apparent way to find collisions in  $f \mod N$  is to find  $\mathbb{Q}$  collisions...

and since Zagier conjectures that  $f_{Zag}$  is injective (i.e., has no collisions) over  $\mathbb{Q}^2$ ...

#### Assumption

The function 
$$f_{Zag}(x, y) = x^7 + 3y^7 \mod N$$
 is CR.

Now, what can we do with this assumption?



Motivation

**Classifying Polynomials** 

Applications

Conclusion

One of the most common tools in crypto protocols

One of the most common tools in crypto protocols

Commit(m) → (c, r). Generate a commitment c to m using randomness r. Open(c, m, r) → {0, 1}. Test whether (m, r) is a valid opening of c.

One of the most common tools in crypto protocols

Commit(m) → (c, r). Generate a commitment c to m using randomness r. Open(c, m, r) → {0, 1}. Test whether (m, r) is a valid opening of c.

**Hiding.** For any two messages m and m':

 $\mathsf{Commit}(m,r) \approx_s \mathsf{Commit}(m',r')$ 

Binding. Cannot open a commitment two different ways.

Public params: RSA modulus N s.t.  $gcd(\phi(N), 7) = 1$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Commit}(m) \to (c,r) \\ \mathsf{Pick} \ r \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N. \\ \mathsf{Return} \ f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) = m^7 + 3r^7 \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \\ \mathsf{Open}(c,m,r) \to \{0,1\} \\ \mathsf{Check} \ \mathsf{that} \ c \stackrel{?}{=} f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \end{array}$$

Public params: RSA modulus N s.t. gc

Efficient! Only a few mults.

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Commit}(m) \to (c,r) \\ \mathsf{Pick} \ r \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N. \\ \mathsf{Return} \ f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) = m^7 + 3r^7 \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \\ \mathsf{Open}(c,m,r) \to \{0,1\} \\ \mathsf{Check} \ \mathsf{that} \ c \stackrel{?}{=} f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \end{array}$$

Public params: RSA modulus N s.t.  $gcd(\phi(N), 7) = 1$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Commit}(m) \to (c,r) \\ \mathsf{Pick} \ r \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N. \\ \mathsf{Return} \ f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) = m^7 + 3r^7 \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \\ \mathsf{Open}(c,m,r) \to \{0,1\} \\ \mathsf{Check} \ \mathsf{that} \ c \stackrel{?}{=} f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \end{array}$$

Public params: RSA modulus N s.t.  $gcd(\phi(N), 7) = 1$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Commit}(m) \to (c,r) \\ \mathsf{Pick} \ r \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N. \\ \mathsf{Return} \ f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) = m^7 + 3r^7 \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \\ \mathsf{Open}(c,m,r) \to \{0,1\} \\ \mathsf{Check} \ \mathsf{that} \ c \stackrel{?}{=} f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \end{array}$$

#### Security

Public params: RSA modulus N s.t.  $gcd(\phi(N), 7) = 1$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Commit}(m) \to (c,r) \\ \mathsf{Pick} \ r \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N. \\ \mathsf{Return} \ f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) = m^7 + 3r^7 \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \\ \mathsf{Open}(c,m,r) \to \{0,1\} \\ \mathsf{Check} \ \mathsf{that} \ c \stackrel{?}{=} f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \end{array}$$

#### Security

► Hiding: Follows because m is blinded with random element 3r<sup>7</sup>

Public params: RSA modulus N s.t.  $gcd(\phi(N), 7) = 1$ 

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Commit}(m) \to (c,r) \\ \mathsf{Pick} \ r \leftarrow_R \mathbb{Z}_N. \\ \mathsf{Return} \ f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) = m^7 + 3r^7 \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \\ \mathsf{Open}(c,m,r) \to \{0,1\} \\ \mathsf{Check} \ \mathsf{that} \ c \stackrel{?}{=} f_{\mathsf{Zag}}(m,r) \ \mathrm{mod} \ N. \end{array}$$

#### Security

- ► Hiding: Follows because m is blinded with random element 3r<sup>7</sup>
- Binding: Violating the binding property implies finding a collision in f<sub>Zag</sub> mod N

Commit(m), Commit(r), Commit(c)

can prove in *succinct* ZK that  $c = m^7 + 3r^7 \mod N$ .

Commit(m), Commit(r), Commit(c)

can prove in *succinct* ZK that  $c = m^7 + 3r^7 \mod N$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Prove that committed values (c, m, r) are themselves the opening of a commitment
- → Uses standard D.log ZKPoK techniques

Commit(m), Commit(r), Commit(c)

can prove in *succinct* ZK that  $c = m^7 + 3r^7 \mod N$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Prove that committed values (c,m,r) are themselves the opening of a commitment
- → Uses standard D.log ZKPoK techniques

#### WHY WOULD YOU EVER WANT TO DO THAT?!

 $\mathsf{Commit}(m), \mathsf{Commit}(r), \mathsf{Commit}(c)$ 

can prove in *succinct* ZK that  $c = m^7 + 3r^7 \mod N$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Prove that committed values (c,m,r) are themselves the opening of a commitment
- → Uses standard D.log ZKPoK techniques

#### WHY WOULD YOU EVER WANT TO DO THAT?! Useful for:

- ► short anonymous Bitcoins, [Miers et al. 2013, Ben-Sasson et al, 2014]
- ► anonymous authentication, [Benaloh-De Mare '93, Barić-Pfitz. '97, C-L 2002]
- ► set membership proofs, [Camenisch-Chaabouni-Shelat 2008]
- ► etc.

# Chameleon Hash

[Gennaro-Halevi-Rabin '99, Krawczyk-Rabin 2000, Bellare-Ristov 2008]

**Definition**: a hash function H(m, r) such that

- ► without "trapdoor," it's hard to find collisions in *H*
- given (h, m), can use the "trapdoor," to find r s.t.

h = H(m, r)

• for any m, m' and for random r, r':

 $H(m,r) \approx_s H(m',r')$ 

# Chameleon Hash

[Gennaro-Halevi-Rabin '99, Krawczyk-Rabin 2000, Bellare-Ristov 2008]

**Definition:** a hash function H(m, r) such that

- ► without "trapdoor," it's hard to find collisions in *H*
- given (h, m), can use the "trapdoor," to find r s.t.

h = H(m, r)

• for any m, m' and for random r, r':

$$H(m,r) \approx_s H(m',r')$$

#### Construction

- ► Hash function is  $H(m,r) = m^7 + 3r^7 \mod N$
- "Trapdoor" is the factorization of  $\boldsymbol{N}$
# **Other Applications**

#### Others...

- ► "Accumulator" [Merkle '89]
- ► Signature scheme [Goldwasser-Micali-Rivest '88]

# **Other Applications**

### Others...

- ► "Accumulator" [Merkle '89]
- ► Signature scheme [Goldwasser-Micali-Rivest '88]
- [Your application here]



Motivation

**Classifying Polynomials** 

**Applications** 

Conclusion



We reason about properties of  $f(x, y) \mod N$  by looking at the properties of f(x, y) = c over the rationals.

### Crypto Property Algebraic Property



We reason about properties of  $f(x, y) \mod N$  by looking at the properties of f(x, y) = c over the rationals.

Crypto Property	<b>Algebraic Property</b>
One-wayness	genus $g > 0$



We reason about properties of  $f(x, y) \mod N$  by looking at the properties of f(x, y) = c over the rationals.

Crypto Property	<b>Algebraic Property</b>
One-wayness	genus $g > 0$
2nd-preimage resistant	No ${\mathbb Q}$ maps

### Recap

We reason about properties of  $f(x, y) \mod N$  by looking at the properties of f(x, y) = c over the rationals.

Crypto Property	Algebraic Property
One-wayness	genus $g > 0$
2nd-preimage resistant	No ${\mathbb Q}$ maps
Collision-resistant	Injective on $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$

► Can we prove in a generic ring model that  $x^7 + 3y^7$  is collision resistant mod N? [Aggarwal-Maurer 2009]

- ► Can we prove in a generic ring model that  $x^7 + 3y^7$  is collision resistant mod N? [Aggarwal-Maurer 2009]
- What other applications are there for bivariates mod N?

- ► Can we prove in a generic ring model that  $x^7 + 3y^7$  is collision resistant mod N? [Aggarwal-Maurer 2009]
- What other applications are there for bivariates mod N?

- ► Can we prove in a generic ring model that  $x^7 + 3y^7$  is collision resistant mod N? [Aggarwal-Maurer 2009]
- What other applications are there for bivariates mod N?

Thanks to Antoine Joux, Bjorn Poonen, Don Zagier, Joe Zimmerman, and Steven Galbraith for helpful comments and suggestions.